



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/801,138	09/801,138 03/06/2001		Robert Olan Keith JR.	ABREAU-00102	6011
28960	7590	03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
162 NORTI SUNNYVA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2011112	,			2171	1
				DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	, 14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary			01,138	KEITH, ROBERT OLAN
· ·	moc Addon Gammary		miner	Art Unit
The	MAILING DATE of this commun		Linh Nguyen	2171
Period for Rep		ncauon appears c	ni die Cover Sneet widt die C	orrespondence address
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period f - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN f time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comior for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum soly within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months it term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 30) days, a reply within to latutory period will apply will, by statute, cause to	no event, however, may a reply be time the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 Januar</u> y	<u> 2004</u> .	
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.	
3)☐ Since	e this application is in condition	for allowance ex	cept for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
close	ed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex par</i> t	e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of	Claims			
4)⊠ Claim 4a) O 5)□ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)□ Claim	f the above claim(s) is/are pending in the fifthe above claim(s) is/are(s) is/are allowed. f(s) is/are rejected. f(s) is/are objected to. f(s) are subject to restricts	re withdrawn from		
Application Pa	ipers			•
• —	pecification is objected to by th			
10) <u></u> The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted	or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.
	cant may not request that any obje		- · ·	• •
	cement drawing sheet(s) including ath or declaration is objected t			, ,
·	•	o by the Examine	ir. Note the attached Office	Addon of 101111 1 10-102.
<u> </u>	35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	by b	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC)	e been received. E been received in Application Cuments have been received Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of Re	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	
3) X Information I	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date <u>12</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2171

- , ,

.\

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. Claims 1 96 of this application conflict with claims 1 40 of Application No. 09/801,072. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1 – 96 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 - 40 of copending Application No. 09/801,072. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following table shows the claims in '138 that are rejected by corresponding claims in '072

Art Unit: 2171

Claims comparison table

	'138	'072
Claims	1, 2, 49, 50, 73, 74	1, 36, 37,
	3, 53, 78	5
	4, 54, 75	2
	5, 51, 76	3
	6, 52, 77	4
	7, 55, 79	6, 39,
	8, 56, 80	7
	9, 57, 81	8, 38
	10, 58, 82	9
	11, 59, 83	10, 40,
	12, 60, 84	11
	13, 61, 85	12
	14, 62, 86	13
	15, 16, 39, 40, 63, 64, 87, 88	27
	17, 41, 65, 89	28
	18, 42, 66, 90	29
	19, 43, 67, 91	30
	20, 44, 68, 92	31
	21, 45, 69, 93	32
	22, 46, 70, 94	33

Art Unit: 2171

23, 47, 71, 95	34
24, 48, 72, 96	35
25 & 26	14
27	17
28	18
30	16
31	19
32	20
33	21
34	22
35	23
36	24
37	25
38	26
39 & 40	14 & 27
48	35

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 2171

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1 96, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Witek et al (U.S. 6,253,188).
- ♦ As per claim 1, 15, 25, 39, 49, 63, 73, 87,

Witek teaches a method of performing a research task within a searchable database comprising:

- "Utilizing a selective one or more search methodologies including keyword search, hierarchical search…" See Fig. 1, 7,10. In particular:
 - "A search module" corresponds to the search engine that implemented in Fig. 1.
 - "A search criteria" corresponds to arguments or selections that user enters in Fig. 7, element 112.
 - " A searchable database" corresponds to database server (Fig. 1, element
 20, col. 9, lines 53 55)
 - "One or more matching items" corresponds to the results that sent to user
 (Fig. 7, element 126 128).
 - "The search module includes keyword search" See Fig. 10, element 148,
 col. 29, lines 28 34.
 - "A hierarchical search" corresponds to "category search" because the categories include subcategories that organized in a hierarchical order.
 See Fig. 4, col. 31, lines 4 – 11.

Art Unit: 2171

"A dichotomous key search" See Fig. 3, element 70, col. 16, lines 27 – 50, Fig. 10, element 144 – 146. As defined in the Specification a "dichotomous key search" is used to instruct users given in an answer or question dialog, often yes or no answer (Specification, page 18, lines 6 – 8). In fig. 10, Witek also gives the users the options of answer questions by checking the boxes. Therefore, this search option is corresponding to the "dichotomous key search".

- "A subsequent search" corresponds to a second or third loop of search based on the result. See col. 12, lines 28 43.
- ♦ As per claim 2 3, 6, 16, 28, 53, 78,
 - "The search module further comprises a parametric search capability" See Fig. 10, elements 154, 158, 160, 142, col. 30, lines 10 29.
 - "The utilized search methodology is the parametric search, the search criteria is one or more set parameters, and further wherein the parameters are set by a user" See Fig. 10, elements 154, 158, 160, 142, col. 30, lines 10 29. As shown in Fig. 10, a user can set the values for parameters such as date, the range price, or number of room.
- ♦ As per claim 4, 29, 54, 74 75, 88,
 - "The search criteria is one or more keywords input by a user" See Fig. 10, element 148, col. 29, lines 28 34.
- ♦ As per claim 5, 30, 50 51, 64, 76,

Art Unit: 2171

- "The utilized search methodology is the hierarchical search, the search criteria is selected one of a list of one or more directory items" "A hierarchical search" corresponds to "category search" because the categories include subcategories that organized in a hierarchical order. See Fig. 4, 6 7, col. 31, lines 4 11.
- ♦ As per claim 6, 26 27, 40, 52, 77,
 - "The utilized search methodology is the dichotomous key search, the search criteria is a selected one of two binary items" See Fig. 3, element 70, col. 16, lines 27 50, Fig. 10, element 144 146. As defined in the Specification a "dichotomous key search" is used to instruct users given in an answer or question dialog, often yes or no answer (Specification, page 18, lines 6 8). In fig. 10, Witek also gives the users the options of answer questions by checking the boxes. Therefore, this search option is corresponding to the "dichotomous key search".
- ♦ As per claim 7, 20, 31, 44, 55, 68, 79, 92,
 - "The searchable database is distributed into more than one physical location"

 See Fig. 1, element 20, col. 9, lines 53 col. 10, lines 5, col. 25, lines 37 44.
- \bullet As per claim 8 10, 17 19, 32 34, 41 43, 56 58, 65 67, 80 82, 89 91,
 - "The steps of utilizing the search methodologies are performed by a server" See Fig. 1, 5A, col. 25, lines 13 – 33.
 - "Establishing an Internet connection with the server to utilize the search methodologies" See Fig. 5a, element 14, 24, col. 21, lines 15 – 20.
- \bullet As per claim 11 12, 21 22, 35 36, 45 46, 59 60, 69 70, 83 84, 93 94,

Art Unit: 2171

- "The searchable database is formatted in a directory tree structure" See Fig. 4, col. 18, lines 1 32.
- "The directory tree structure includes nodes ... branches" See fig. 4. Each category corresponds to a node. All nodes are linked together.
- "The collection of related data for a particular node is displayed in an encyclopedia like format, wherein the encyclopedia like format includes text, graphics, and links to related topics" See Fig. 8 10, col. 23, lines 44 48, col. 24, lines 10 16.
- \bullet As per claim 13 14, 23 24, 37 38, 47 48, 61 62, 71 72, 85 86, 95 96,
 - "Maintaining the node by appropriately adding and deleting data to and from the node" See col. 50, lines 4 – 15.
 - "The step of maintaining the node is performed by a node owner" See Fig. 14,
 col. 50, lines 4 15. "A node owner" corresponds to the system administrator.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 96 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Monberg et al (U.S. 6,523,021) discloses a business directory search engine.
 - Vanderpool et al (U.S. 5,781,773) discloses a method for transforming and storing data for search and display and a searching system utilized therewith.

Page 9

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 305-1951.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308- 1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. A new official fax number, that will effect in December 1st, 2003, is 703 -872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

Cam-Linh Nguyen Art Unit 2171

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER